

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,405	06/27/2006	Maurice John Bryham	45021096	2977	
466 7590 06/23/2008 YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER		
209 Madison S		AVILA, STEPHEN P			
Suite 500 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/573,405	BRYHAM, MAURICE JOHN					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Stephen Avila	3617					

	Stephen Avila	3617								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress							
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:										
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(										
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the								
AMENDMENTS										
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to</li> </ol>			cause							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):										
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		,								
7. \( \subseteq \text{ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \text{ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \( \text{Claim(s) allowed:} \) Claim(s) objected to: \(  \)		I be entered and an e	planation of							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 17-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:										
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).										
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but It is noted that the claims are drawn to a retractable leg as ot that the limitations concerning the hull have been give capable of being used on the outside of a streamlined h.	assembly and the amphibious vehicen limited weight. Note that the reti	cle is part of an intend	ed use clause,							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).										
13. Other:										

/Stephen Avila/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3617 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additions (underlined words) to claims 1 and 17 raise new issues.